

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/429,585	Applicant(s) SHAFRON, THOMAS J.	
	Examiner Brian J Detwiler	Art Unit 2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian J Detwiler.

(3) James DeCarlo.

(2) John Cabeca.

(4) Aditya Krishnan, Esq..

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 87, 98, and 111.

Identification of prior art discussed: Burner, Alexa NPL, IE4, Belfiore

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Discussed proposed new claims to overcome the pending rejections. Also discussed claims 58, 64, 83 in view of the rejection of record. Further consideration will be given upon receipt of a response after final.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required